

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

1. The Applicant has carefully considered the official communication dated March 22, 2005. Applicant respectfully submits that the following remarks are fully responsive to the official communication.
2. In the Detailed Action, the Examiner has stated that the information disclosure statement file 12/20/2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2). A copy of WO 97/96958 is enclosed.
3. In paragraph 1 of the Detailed Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 9 to 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray, in view of Matsui et al.
4. Claim 9 has been deleted. Claim 10 has been amended to emphasize the fact that the media feed mechanism is engageable with a drive mechanism of the printing device.
5. The Examiner has allowed claim 19 as a result of the limitation that "a drive mechanism is that is engageable with the media feed mechanism when the printing cartridge is engaged with the body is neither disclosed nor taught by the cited prior art of record, alone or in combination."
6. It is respectfully submitted that claim 10 now includes a limitation that concords with that of claim 19. It follows that Applicant respectfully submits that claim 9 is allowable. Claims 10 to 12 are dependent on claim 9. Applicant therefore respectfully submits that this submission extends to these claims as well.

7. It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections have been successfully traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of the application are courteously solicited.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



---

KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762